

REMARKS***Summary of the Response***

Upon entry of the *Listing of Claims*, claims 12 and 22 have been amended and new claims 33 and 34 have been presented for the Examiner's consideration. Applicant submits that no new matter is added by the present amendment. Support for the amendment may be found, for example, at least in Figures 1A – 2D. Accordingly, upon entry of the amendment, claims 12 – 34 will be pending. Reconsideration of the rejected claims in view of the above amendment and following remarks is respectfully requested.

Summary of the Office Action

In the instant Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 12 – 32 over the art of record. By the present amendment and remarks, Applicant submits that the rejections have been overcome, and respectfully requests reconsideration of the outstanding Office Action and allowance of the present application.

Summary of the Notice of Non-compliant Amendment

In the instant Notice, the Examiner has required that the “*Amendments to the Claims*” section of Applicants’ December 9, 2009 Amendment Under 1.111 be corrected to identify the correct status of claims 1 – 11. By the present amendment, Applicants submit the requested section that includes a *Listing of Claims* identifying the status of all claims 1 – 32.

Accordingly, Applicants request the Examiner consider the claims and arguments presented on December 9, 2009, withdraw the pending rejections, and indicate the allowability of the instant application and the pending claims.

Authorization to Charge Deposit Account

The undersigned authorizes the charging of any necessary fees, including any extensions of time fees required to place the application in condition for allowance by Examiner's Amendment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0089 in order to maintain pendency of this application.


CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that none of the references of record, either taken alone or in any proper combination thereof, anticipate or render obvious the Applicant's invention, as recited in each of claims 12 – 32. The claims have been amended in an effort to clarify the features of the invention, and the distinguishing features of the invention have been noted with reference to the deficiencies in the applied documents of record.

Further, any amendments to the claims which have been made in this response and which have not been specifically noted to overcome a rejection based upon the prior art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

Accordingly, reconsideration of the outstanding Office Action and allowance of the present application and all the claims therein are respectfully requested and now believed to be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,
Chris Udo MAEDING


Neil F. Greenblum
Reg. No. 28,394

Robert W. Mueller
Reg. No. 35,043

January 21, 2010
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.
1950 Roland Clarke Place
Reston, VA 20191
(703) 716-1191
{P29504 00856779.DOC}